## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

REC'D	1	0	NOA	2005
WIPO				

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,					
15529-WO-02	FOR FURTHER	OR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPE/						
International application No. PCT/IL2004/000699	International filing data 29.07.2004	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 31.07.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and	IPC						
G01N33/68								
Applicant								
PRIOSENSE, LTD. et al.								
	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a tot	al of 10 sheets, including	this cover sheet.						
3. This report is also accompanie	d by ANNEXES, compris	ing:						
a. $\square$ sent to the applicant an	d to the International Bur	eau) a total of sheets.	as follows:					
│ □ □ sheets of the descri and/or sheets conta	<ul> <li>a.          sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:     </li> <li>sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).</li> </ul>							
sheets which super beyond the disclosu	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application or filed as indicated as in							
sequence listing and/or	sequence listing and/or tables related thorse in indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a							
Box Relating to Sequen	ce Listing (see Section 8	02 of the Administrative	Instructions).					
	•							
4. This report contains indications	relating to the following	tems:						
☑ Box No. I Basis of the c	•							
☐ Box No. II Priority	pinion							
	ment of oninion with							
	of invention	ard to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability					
☑ Box No. V Reasoned sta								
☐ Box No. VI Certain docur	nents cited	s supporting such staten	nent					
	ts in the international app	dication						
☐ Box No. VIII Certain obser	vations on the internation	nal application						
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of thi	s renort					
- Sample to the tablet								
26.05.2005		09.11.2005						
Name and mailing address of the Internati preliminary examining authority:	onal	Authorized Officer						
European Patent Office		. gratuches Petantan.						
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52	3656 enmu d	Montrone, M						
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	ooo epina a	Telephone No. +49 89 2	399.8711					
			OCCIO-O/11					

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IL2004/000699

_		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report
1.	With regard filed, unles	to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was s otherwise indicated under this item.
	☐ inte	port is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: rnational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) lication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) rnational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	With regard	to the <b>elements*</b> of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>
	Description	, Pages
	1-39	as originally filed
	Člaims, Nun	nbers
	1-57	as originally filed
	Drawings, S	heets
	1/7-7/7	as originally filed
	<sup>¹</sup> □ a seque	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.	☐ the ∈ ☐ the ∈ ☐ the ∈	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of: description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
4.	Supplement the current the current the current the current the current the current the supplement the supplement the supplement the current the supplement the current the supplement the current the	cort has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below in made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the all Box (Rule 70.2(c)).  Idescription, pages claims, Nos.  Idrawings, sheets/figs  Idrawings, sheets/figs  Idrawings (specify):  Idrawle(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
	* If ite	m 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IL2004/000699

		x No. III plicability	Non-establishment	of op	inion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
1.	The	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
			the entire international application,			
	×	claims N	ms Nos. 1-47(partially) and 55,56(partially)			
		because:				
	×	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-38 and 56 with respect to IA relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			the said claims Nos. 1-38 and 56 with respect to IA relate to the not require an international preliminary examination (specify):	
	see separate sheet					
	☐ .	the descr that no m	description, claims or drawings <i>(indicate particular elements below)</i> or said claims Nos. are so unclear to meaningful opinion could be formed <i>(specify)</i> :			
		the claim could be	claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion			
	×	no interna 21-37(pa	ational search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 1-9(partially),11-17(partially), artially),39-47(partially) and 55,56(partially)			
		the nucle C of the A	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Anne C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
		the writte	n form		has not been furnished	
					does not comply with the standard	
		the comp	uter readable form		has not been furnished	
					does not comply with the standard	
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instruc					
		See sepa	rate sheet for further d	etail	· S	

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IL2004/000699

	Pai	· No IV	Lasta de la de			
—	B02	x No. IV	Lack of unity of in	ventio	<u>n</u>	
1.		<ul> <li>In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has:</li> <li>□ restricted the claims.</li> <li>□ paid additional fees.</li> <li>□ paid additional fees under protest.</li> <li>☑ neither restricted nor paid additional fees.</li> </ul>				
2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.				
3.	This	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Bules 13.1.13.2 and 13.2				
		complied	with.			
		not comp	olied with for the follo	owing re	easons:	
		see sepa	arate sheet	,		
4.	Con	Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:				
	□ all parts.				•	
		the parts 21-37(pa	relating to claims N rtially), 38(complete	os. 1-9( ), 39-47	(partially), 10 (partially), 4	(complete), 11-17(partially), 18-20(complete), 8-54(complete), 55, 56(partially) and 57(complete) .
		No. V licability;	Reasoned stateme citations and exp	ent und	er Article 35 ns supporti	6(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ng such statement
۱.	State	ement				
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2-10,18-20,22-30,38,43,44,47-54,57 1,11-17,21,31-37,39-42,45,46,55,56
	Inve	ntive step	(IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	18,38,57 1-17,19-37,39-56
	Indu	strial appl	icability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	39-55,57
2.	Citat	tions and	explanations (Rule	70.7):		

see separate sheet

#### Re Item III.

- 1. The subject-matter of claims 1, 21, 39, 55 und 56 have only be partially searched. Consequently, an opinion with respect to patentability will only be given for an immunoglobulin light chain (LC) as a beta-sheet protein.
- 2. Claims 1 to 38 and 56 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(I) PCT). The reason is that the term "providing" of present claim 1(a) could include a surgical step.

#### Re Item IV.

The separate inventions/groups of inventions are:

- Group I: claims 1-9(partially), 10(complete), 11-17(partially), 18-20(complete), 21-37(partially), 38(complete), 39-47(partially), 48-54(complete), 55, 56(partially) and 57(complete)
  - A method for the diagnosis of a neurodegenerative disorder in a mammalian subject, by concentrating the sample first, then adding a IgG light chain (LC) protein to start aggregation of a marker molecule for said disorder and measuring aggregate formation.
- Group II: claims 1-9(partially), 11-17(partially), 21-37(partially), 39-47(partially), 55, 56(partially)
  - A method for the diagnosis of a neurodegenerative disorder in a mammalian subject, by concentrating the sample, adding a human Bence Jones (BJ) protein to start aggregation of a marker molecule for said disorder and measuring aggregate formation.
- Group III: claims 1-9(partially), 11-17(partially), 21-37(partially), 39-47(partially), 55, 56(partially)
  - A method for the diagnosis of a neurodegenerative disorder in a mammalian subject, by concentrating the sample, adding a recombinant PrP protein to start aggregation of a marker molecule for said disorder and measuring aggregate

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IL2004/000699

formation.

They are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (Rule 13.1 PCT) for the following reasons:

The arguments of the applicant brought forward in his letter of reply have been taken into consideration. However, the objection under lack of unity is maintained for the following reasons:

The present application discloses a method for the diagnosis of a neurodegenerative disorder in a mammalian subject, by first concentrating a body fluid sample, adding a protein with a **beta-sheet structure**, (such as IgG light chain (LC) protein, Bence Jones protein or recombinant PrP protein), to start aggregation of a marker molecule for said disorder and measuring the aggregate formation.

The prior art mentions several methods for the diagnosis of neurodegenerative disorders in mammals starting from a body fluid, concentrating proteins comprised in the sample and detecting possible marker proteins after forming aggregates by using for example a monoclonal antibody. EP0854364 (D3) for example discloses such a method concentrating from a body fluid inter alia a protein associated with neuro-degenerative disorders (see abstract, col. 3, lines 20 to 30). Antibodies against PrP are mentioned that first bind to the protein before a second antibody is used to allow the detection of PrP as a marker indicative of a particular neuro-degenerative disorder (see col. 4, lines 45 to 53). The antibody binding the PrP has a beta-sheet structure and induces aggregation due to its Y-shaped form. Due to the broad wording of claim 1, D3 is considered to be detrimental to the novelty of the subject-matter of said claim. WO 02/33420 (D2) refers to a urine test for the diagnosis of prion diseases (see abstract). It detects PrPsc in a urine sample of a patient, concentrates the proteins in said sample by dialysing and precipitating it and uses a monoclonal antibody having a beat-sheet structure for the detection of PrPsc thereby aggregating it due to its Yshaped structure (see page 5, line 1 to page 6, third para.). For the same reasons as outlined above D2 is considered to be detrimental to the novelty of the subject-matter of claim 1.

In the light of the prior art, the problem underlying the present application can be seen as the provision of further diagnostic tests for neurodegenerative disorders of patients by using further beta-sheet proteins.

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IL2004/000699

The solution can be summarised as the provision of IgG light chain (LC) protein, Bence Jones protein or recombinant PrP protein all belonging to proteins having a beta-sheet structure.

However, the use of one beta-sheet protein as an amplifier for PrP aggregation, namely recombinant PrPsc, was already known from the prior art (see WO-A-0204954 (D1), page 4, lines 16 to 19). In summary, D2 and D3 are considered to destroy the linking unit of the different methods used, namely that a beat-sheet protein induces aggregation of a marker protein for neuro-degenerative disorders. It is admitted that this novelty objection can only be raised due to the unclear and functional wording of claim 1 c, in particular. But nevertheless since antibodies contain beta-sheet structures (see IgG light chain, claimed in the present application) and cause aggregation due to their Y-shaped structure, the objection is justified. Even if the method of claim 1 would be novel over D2 and D3, it does not appear to be inventive for the following reasons: D3 is considered to be the closest prior art. Said document discloses a diagnostic method for prion diseases by using a urine sample, concentrating said sample using a solid material, such as calcium phosphate (see col. 3, line 20 to col. 4, line 12). The 10000 x times concentrated marker protein can then either by visualised directly by electron microscopy due to the tubulofilamentous structure present or indirectly by using additional specific antibodies binding to said structures and increasing the size of the complexes by aggregation (see col. 4, lines 13 to 58). By using PrPsc in the present application the sensitivity of the test might be further increased due to the aggregation forming activity of said protein with respect to PrP. The obvious problem to be solved by the present application was thus to further increase the sensitivity of diagnostic method. However, D1 teaches that PrPsc induces aggregation formation of PrP proteins (see page 4, lines 16 to 19). Consequently, the skilled person was well aware of PrPsc being a beta-sheet protein inducing PrP aggregation which can be easily measured. In addition, no other technical feature can be distinguished which in the light of the prior art could be regarded as a special common technical feature linking the three different methods mentioned above. Thus, there appears still no single inventive concept being present underlying the plurality of different inventions in the sense of Rule 13.2 PCT.

Consequently, there is a lack of unity and the different inventions not belonging to a common inventive concept are formulated as different groups of inventions pursuant to Art. 17(3)(a) PCT.

#### Re Item V.

The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 02/04954 A D2: WO 02/33420 A D3: EP0854364

1. Claim 1 refers to a method for the diagnosis of a neurodegenerative disorder in a mammalian subject, by first concentrating a body fluid sample, adding a protein with a beta-sheet structure, such as IgG light chain (LC) protein, Bence Jones protein or recombinant PrP protein, to start aggregation of a marker molecule for said disorder and measuring the aggregate formation.

D1 discloses a method and a kit for the diagnosis of neurodegenerative disorders in body fluids wherein a marker protein for spongiform encephalopathy, namely PrPsc is after a concentration step first amplified by adding recombinant PrP before the formed aggregates are measured (see abstract, page 1, lines 21 to 29, page 4, line 29 to page 13, line 7). It also mentions the use of one beta-sheet protein as an amplifier for PrP aggregation, namely recombinant PrPsc, was (see page 4, lines 16 to 19).

WO 02/33420 (D2) refers to a urine test for the diagnosis of prion diseases (see abstract). It detects PrPsc in a urine sample of a patient, concentrates the proteins in said sample by dialysing and precipitating it and uses a monoclonal antibody having a beat-sheet structure for the detection of PrPsc thereby aggregating it due to its Y-shaped structure (see page 5, line 1 to page 6, third para.). The antibody binding the PrP has a beta-sheet structure and induces aggregation due to its Y-shaped form. Due to the broad wording of claim 1, D2 is considered to be detrimental to the novelty of the subject-matter of said claim. Moreover, it is considered to be detrimental to the novelty of the subject-matter of claims 11 to 17, 21, 31 to 37, 39 to 42, 45, 46, 55 and 56.

EP0854364 (D3) for example discloses such a method concentrating from a body fluid inter alia a protein associated with neuro-degenerative disorders (see abstract, col. 3, lines 20 to 30). Antibodies against PrP are mentioned that first bind to the protein before

a second antibody is used to allow the detection of PrP as a marker indicative of a particular neuro-degenerative disorder (see col. 4, lines 45 to 53). The antibody binding the PrP has a beta-sheet structure and induces aggregation due to its Y-shaped form. Due to the broad wording of claim 1, D3 is considered to be detrimental to the novelty of the subject-matter of said claim. Moreover, it is considered to be detrimental to the novelty of the subject-matter of claims 11 to 17, 21, 31 to 37, 39 to 42, 45, 46, 55 and 56.

Consequently, the subject-matter of claims 1, 11 to 17, 21, 31 to 37, 39 to 42, 45, 46, 55 and 56 is not novel and does not comply with the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT.

Even if the applicant were to incorporate a new process step into independent claim 1 2. in order to render the subject-matter novel over D2 or D3 the present application would not meet the requirements of Articles 33(3) PCT, because the subject-matter of such a claim 1 would appear not to involve an inventive step for the following reasons: D3 is considered to be the closest prior art. Said document discloses a diagnostic method for prion diseases by using a urine sample, concentrating said sample using a solid material, such as calcium phosphate (see col. 3, line 20 to col. 4, line 12). The 10000 x times concentrated marker protein can then either by visualised directly by electron microscopy due to the tubulofilamentous structure present or indirectly by using additional specific antibodies binding to said structures and increasing the size of the complexes by aggregation (see col. 4, lines 13 to 58). By using PrPsc in the present application the sensitivity of the test might be further increased due to the aggregation forming activity of said protein with respect to PrP. The obvious problem to be solved by the present application was thus to further increase the sensitivity of diagnostic method. However, D1 teaches that PrPsc induces aggregation formation of PrP proteins (see page 4, lines 16 to 19). Consequently, the skilled person was well aware of PrPsc being a beta-sheet protein inducing PrP aggregation which can be easily measured. Consequently, presence of an inventive step could not be acknowledged (Art. 33(3) PCT).

However, a restriction of independent claim 1 to the subject-matter of claim 18 or 38 would render the claim novel and inventive for the following reasons:

IgG LC protein was never used before for the amplification of PrPsc protein. Moreover, it leads to an aggregation of PrPsc like the addition of recombinant PrP. This effect appears neither to be obvious from any of the available prior art documents nor directly derivable. Consequently, presence of an inventive step could be acknowledged (Art. 33(3) PCT). The same arguments apply for the subject-matter of claim 10, 30, 48 and 57.

3. For the assessment of the present claims 1 to 38 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in diagnostic treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in diagnostic treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a diagnostic preparation for a new diagnostic assay.

#### 4. Further matter:

- 4.1 The terms "suitable means" and protein with a "beta-sheet structure" used inter alia in claim 1 are vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which they refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claim unclear, Article 6 PCT. the same applies to terms "substance having affinity to a specific compound in said aggregate" and "specific dye" inter alia used in claim 5.
- 4.2 The scope of present claims 1 and 21 appear to overlap rendering the scope of protection of said two claims unclear (Art. 6 PCT).